
# **Chapter 8: Deadlocks**





## **Chapter 8: Deadlocks**

- System Model
- Deadlock Characterization
- Methods for Handling Deadlocks
- Deadlock Prevention
- Deadlock Avoidance
- Deadlock Detection
- Recovery from Deadlock





- To develop a description of deadlocks, which prevent sets of concurrent processes from completing their tasks
- To present a number of different methods for preventing or avoiding deadlocks in a computer system





- System consists of resources
- **Resource types**  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m$

CPU cycles, memory space, I/O devices

- Each resource type  $R_i$  has  $W_i$  instances.
- Each process utilizes a resource as follows:
  - request
  - use
  - release





## **Deadlock Characterization**

Deadlock can arise if four conditions hold simultaneously.

- Mutual exclusion: only one process at a time can use a resource
- Hold and wait: a process holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other processes
- No preemption: a resource can be released only voluntarily by the process holding it, after that process has completed its task
- □ **Circular wait:** there exists a set { $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_n$ } of waiting processes such that  $P_0$  is waiting for a resource that is held by  $P_1$ ,  $P_1$  is waiting for a resource that is held by  $P_2$ , ...,  $P_{n-1}$  is waiting for a resource that is held by  $P_n$ , and  $P_n$  is waiting for a resource that is held by  $P_0$ .





- Deadlocks can occur via system calls, locking, etc.
- □ See example box in text page 318 for mutex deadlock





A set of vertices V and a set of edges E.

- □ V is partitioned into two types:
  - □  $P = \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_n\}$ , the set consisting of all the processes in the system
  - □  $R = \{R_1, R_2, ..., R_m\}$ , the set consisting of all resource types in the system
- $\Box \quad \text{request edge} \text{directed edge } P_i \rightarrow R_j$
- □ assignment edge directed edge  $R_j \rightarrow P_i$







## **Resource-Allocation Graph (Cont.)**











 $\square P_i \text{ is holding an instance of } R_j$ 








#### **Example of a Resource Allocation Graph**





#### **Operating System Concepts – 10th Edition**

## **Resource Allocation Graph With A Deadlock**






#### **Operating System Concepts – 10th Edition**

#### Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2018



### **Graph With A Cycle But No Deadlock**





#### **Operating System Concepts – 10th Edition**



- $\Box \quad \text{If graph contains no cycles} \Rightarrow \text{no deadlock}$
- $\square \quad \text{If graph contains a cycle} \Rightarrow$ 
  - □ if only one instance per resource type, then deadlock
  - if several instances per resource type, possibility of deadlock





## **Methods for Handling Deadlocks**

- Ensure that the system will *never* enter a deadlock state:
  - Deadlock prevention
  - Deadlock avoidence
- Allow the system to enter a deadlock state and then recover
- Ignore the problem and pretend that deadlocks never occur in the system; used by most operating systems, including UNIX





Restrain the ways request can be made

- Mutual Exclusion not required for sharable resources (e.g., read-only files); must hold for non-sharable resources
- Hold and Wait must guarantee that whenever a process requests a resource, it does not hold any other resources
  - Require process to request and be allocated all its resources before it begins execution, or allow process to request resources only when the process has none allocated to it.
  - Low resource utilization; starvation possible





## **Deadlock Prevention (Cont.)**

#### □ No Preemption –

- If a process that is holding some resources requests another resource that cannot be immediately allocated to it, then all resources currently being held are released
- Preempted resources are added to the list of resources for which the process is waiting
- Process will be restarted only when it can regain its old resources, as well as the new ones that it is requesting
- Circular Wait impose a total ordering of all resource types, and require that each process requests resources in an increasing order of enumeration





## **Deadlock Example**

```
/* thread one runs in this function */
void *do work one(void *param)
   pthread mutex lock(&first mutex);
   pthread mutex lock(&second mutex);
   /** * Do some work */
   pthread mutex unlock(&second mutex);
   pthread mutex unlock(&first mutex);
   pthread exit(0);
/* thread two runs in this function */
void *do work two(void *param)
   pthread mutex lock(&second mutex);
   pthread mutex lock(&first mutex);
   /** * Do some work */
   pthread mutex unlock(&first mutex);
   pthread mutex unlock(&second mutex);
   pthread exit(0);
```





#### **Deadlock Example with Lock Ordering**

```
void transaction (Account from, Account to, double amount)
{
   mutex lock1, lock2;
   lock1 = get lock(from);
   lock2 = get lock(to);
   acquire(lock1);
      acquire(lock2);
         withdraw(from, amount);
         deposit(to, amount);
      release(lock2);
   release(lock1);
}
```

Transactions 1 and 2 execute concurrently. Transaction 1 transfers \$25 from account A to account B, and Transaction 2 transfers \$50 from account B to account A





### **Deadlock Avoidance**

Requires that the system has some additional *a priori* information available

- Simplest and most useful model requires that each process declare the *maximum number* of resources of each type that it may need
- The deadlock-avoidance algorithm dynamically examines the resource-allocation state to ensure that there can never be a circular-wait condition
- Resource-allocation state is defined by the number of available and allocated resources, and the maximum demands of the processes





#### **Safe State**

- When a process requests an available resource, system must decide if immediate allocation leaves the system in a safe state
- System is in safe state if there exists a sequence  $\langle P_1, P_2, ..., P_n \rangle$ of ALL the processes in the systems such that for each  $P_i$ , the resources that  $P_i$  can still request can be satisfied by currently available resources + resources held by all the  $P_j$ , with j < I
- That is:
  - If P<sub>i</sub> resource needs are not immediately available, then P<sub>i</sub> can wait until all P<sub>i</sub> have finished
  - When P<sub>j</sub> is finished, P<sub>i</sub> can obtain needed resources, execute, return allocated resources, and terminate
  - □ When  $P_i$  terminates,  $P_{i+1}$  can obtain its needed resources, and so on






#### **Basic Facts**

- $\Box \quad \text{If a system is in safe state} \Rightarrow \text{no deadlocks}$
- $\Box \quad If a system is in unsafe state \Rightarrow possibility of deadlock$
- Avoidance  $\Rightarrow$  ensure that a system will never enter an unsafe state.





### Safe, Unsafe, Deadlock State





**Operating System Concepts – 10th Edition** 

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2018



- □ Single instance of a resource type
  - Use a resource-allocation graph
- Multiple instances of a resource type
  - Use the banker's algorithm






## **Resource-Allocation Graph Scheme**

- □ **Claim edge**  $P_i \rightarrow R_j$  indicated that process  $P_j$  may request resource  $R_j$ ; represented by a dashed line
- Claim edge converts to request edge when a process requests a resource
- Request edge converted to an assignment edge when the resource is allocated to the process
- When a resource is released by a process, assignment edge reconverts to a claim edge
- Resources must be claimed a priori in the system






#### **Resource-Allocation Graph**





# **Unsafe State In Resource-Allocation Graph**





**Operating System Concepts – 10th Edition** 



- **Suppose that process**  $P_i$  requests a resource  $R_i$
- The request can be granted only if converting the request edge to an assignment edge does not result in the formation of a cycle in the resource allocation graph





- Multiple instances
- Each process must a priori claim maximum use
- □ When a process requests a resource it may have to wait
- When a process gets all its resources it must return them in a finite amount of time





Let n = number of processes, and m = number of resources types.

- □ Available: Vector of length *m*. If available [j] = k, there are *k* instances of resource type  $R_j$  available
- □ **Max**:  $n \times m$  matrix. If Max[i,j] = k, then process  $P_i$  may request at most k instances of resource type  $R_i$
- □ **Allocation**:  $n \ge m$  matrix. If Allocation[*i*,*j*] = *k* then  $P_i$  is currently allocated *k* instances of  $R_i$
- □ **Need**:  $n \ge m$  matrix. If Need[i,j] = k, then  $P_i$  may need k more instances of  $R_j$  to complete its task

Need [*i*,*j*] = Max[*i*,*j*] – Allocation [*i*,*j*]



Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2018

**Operating System Concepts – 10th Edition** 



1. Let *Work* and *Finish* be vectors of length *m* and *n*, respectively. Initialize:

*Work* = *Available Finish* [*i*] = *false* for *i* = 0, 1, ..., *n*-1

- 2. Find an *i* such that both:
  - (a) *Finish* [*i*] = *false*
  - (b) *Need<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *Work*

If no such *i* exists, go to step 4

- 3. Work = Work + Allocation<sub>i</sub> Finish[i] = true go to step 2
- 4. If *Finish* [*i*] == *true* for all *i*, then the system is in a safe state





**Request**<sub>*i*</sub> = request vector for process  $P_i$ . If **Request**<sub>*i*</sub>[*j*] = *k* then process  $P_i$  wants *k* instances of resource type  $R_i$ 

- If *Request<sub>i</sub>* ≤ *Need<sub>i</sub>* go to step 2. Otherwise, raise error condition, since process has exceeded its maximum claim
- 2. If  $Request_i \le Available$ , go to step 3. Otherwise  $P_i$  must wait, since resources are not available
- 3. Pretend to allocate requested resources to  $P_i$  by modifying the state as follows:

Available = Available – Request;;

Allocation<sub>i</sub> = Allocation<sub>i</sub> + Request<sub>i</sub>;

Need<sub>i</sub> = Need<sub>i</sub> - Request<sub>i</sub>;

- If safe  $\Rightarrow$  the resources are allocated to  $P_i$
- If unsafe  $\Rightarrow$   $P_i$  must wait, and the old resource-allocation state is restored





## **Example of Banker's Algorithm**

- $\Box \quad 5 \text{ processes } P_0 \text{ through } P_4;$ 
  - 3 resource types:
    - A (10 instances), B (5instances), and C (7 instances)
- **Snapshot at time**  $T_0$ :

	Allocation	<u>Max</u>	<u>Available</u>
	ABC	ABC	ABC
$P_0$	010	753	332
$P_1$	200	322	
$P_2$	302	902	
$P_3$	211	222	
$P_4$	002	433	





## Example (Cont.)

The content of the matrix Need is defined to be Max – Allocation

	<u>Need</u>	
	ABC	
$P_0$	743	
$P_1$	122	
$P_2$	600	
$P_3$	011	
$P_4$	431	

□ The system is in a safe state since the sequence < *P*<sub>1</sub>, *P*<sub>3</sub>, *P*<sub>4</sub>, *P*<sub>2</sub>, *P*<sub>0</sub>> satisfies safety criteria





Check that Request  $\leq$  Available (that is, (1,0,2)  $\leq$  (3,3,2)  $\Rightarrow$  true

	Allocation	<u>Need</u>	<u>Available</u>
	ABC	ABC	ABC
$P_0$	010	743	230
$P_1$	302	020	
$P_2$	302	600	
$P_3$	211	011	
$P_4$	002	431	

- Executing safety algorithm shows that sequence < P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>> satisfies safety requirement
- □ Can request for (3,3,0) by **P**<sub>4</sub> be granted?
- **C**an request for (0,2,0) by  $P_0$  be granted?

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2018



### **Deadlock Detection**

- Allow system to enter deadlock state
- Detection algorithm
- Recovery scheme






- Maintain wait-for graph
  - Nodes are processes
  - $\square P_i \rightarrow P_j \text{ if } P_i \text{ is waiting for } P_j$
- Periodically invoke an algorithm that searches for a cycle in the graph. If there is a cycle, there exists a deadlock
- An algorithm to detect a cycle in a graph requires an order of  $n^2$  operations, where *n* is the number of vertices in the graph







Resource-Allocation Graph

Corresponding wait-for graph

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2018



## **Several Instances of a Resource Type**

- Available: A vector of length *m* indicates the number of available resources of each type
- Allocation: An n x m matrix defines the number of resources of each type currently allocated to each process
- Request: An n x m matrix indicates the current request of each process. If Request [i][j] = k, then process P<sub>i</sub> is requesting k more instances of resource type R<sub>i</sub>.





- 1. Let *Work* and *Finish* be vectors of length *m* and *n*, respectively Initialize:
  - (a) *Work* = *Available*
  - (b) For *i* = 1,2, ..., *n*, if *Allocation<sub>i</sub>* ≠ 0, then *Finish*[i] = *false*; otherwise, *Finish*[i] = *true*
- 2. Find an index *i* such that both:
  - (a) Finish[i] == false
  - (b) **Request**<sub>i</sub> ≤ Work

If no such *i* exists, go to step 4





## **Detection Algorithm (Cont.)**

- 3. Work = Work + Allocation<sub>i</sub> Finish[i] = true go to step 2
- If *Finish[i] == false*, for some *i*, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*, then the system is in deadlock state. Moreover, if *Finish[i] == false*, then *P<sub>i</sub>* is deadlocked

Algorithm requires an order of  $O(m \ge n^2)$  operations to detect whether the system is in deadlocked state





## **Example of Detection Algorithm**

Five processes  $P_0$  through  $P_4$ ; three resource types A (7 instances), B (2 instances), and C (6 instances)

#### □ Snapshot at time **T**<sub>0</sub>:

	Allocation	<u>Request</u>	<u>Available</u>
	ABC	ABC	ABC
$P_0$	010	000	000
$P_1$	200	202	
$P_2$	303	000	
$P_3$	211	100	
$P_4$	002	002	

Sequence < P<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>> will result in Finish[i] = true for all i



Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2018



 $\square$  **P**<sub>2</sub> requests an additional instance of type **C** 

	<u>Request</u>	
	ABC	
$P_0$	000	
$P_1$	202	
$P_2$	001	
$P_3$	100	
$P_4$	002	

- □ State of system?
  - Can reclaim resources held by process P<sub>0</sub>, but insufficient resources to fulfill other processes; requests
  - Deadlock exists, consisting of processes  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , and  $P_4$





- □ When, and how often, to invoke depends on:
  - How often a deadlock is likely to occur?
  - How many processes will need to be rolled back?
    - one for each disjoint cycle
- If detection algorithm is invoked arbitrarily, there may be many cycles in the resource graph and so we would not be able to tell which of the many deadlocked processes "caused" the deadlock.





- Abort all deadlocked processes
- Abort one process at a time until the deadlock cycle is eliminated
- □ In which order should we choose to abort?
  - 1. Priority of the process
  - 2. How long process has computed, and how much longer to completion
  - 3. Resources the process has used
  - 4. Resources process needs to complete
  - 5. How many processes will need to be terminated
  - 6. Is process interactive or batch?





- **Selecting a victim** minimize cost
- Rollback return to some safe state, restart process for that state
- Starvation same process may always be picked as victim, include number of rollback in cost factor



## **End of Chapter 8**

